3
CH00r

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 2664 /2003.

PETITIONER

Nirmal Dewangan, son of Shri Sunder Lal Dewangan, aged about 27 years, resident of Dewangan Mohalla, Juna Bilaspur (C.G.)

P. R. No. 25/03/03
Presented by Shri Abhijit Tiwari
dated 25/03/03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : Secretary, Department of Public Works and Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. Collector, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Chief Engineer, (East), Public Works Department, Raipur (C.G.)
4. Executive Engineer, Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh).
5. Superintending Engineer, Public Works Department, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT, ORDER OR / AND DIRECTION IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI OR OF ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE NATURE.

(33)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2664 सन् 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	03.9.2003 Shri Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ranbir Singh, Deputy Advocate General appears for the State/respondents. The grievance of the petitioner is that during the special recruitment drive on 22.4.1999 he was selected to the post of Draughtsman and the Deputy Collector by his letter dated 14.6.1999 recommended his name to the Superintending Engineer, P.W.D., Bilaspur (Annexure P-2) but respondent no.5/the Superintending Engineer, Raipur vide his letter dated 24.1.2000 addressed to the Collector Bilaspur (Annex.P-5) had informed that since the office of the Superintending Engineer, Bilaspur Division and other divisional offices have been closed and they are going to abolish 30% of the posts, there is no vacancy available under him and therefore, posting of the petitioner may be considered in other departments. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that thereafter the petitioner has made several representations to the Collector, Bilaspur, but the Collector has not responded any communication, nor he is deciding the representations. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and further having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, the petitioner is allowed to make	

S-9

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

34

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 2664 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

a detailed representation to the Collector/respondent no.2, Bilaspur within a period of 30 days from today and on such representation being filed, the Collector, Bilaspur shall consider and decide the said representation of the petitioner in accordance with law at an early date preferably within a period of 30 days from the date of filing the said representation. In case any other grievance subsists, the petitioner may avail the remedy under the law.

This petition is disposed of with the direction/ observation aforesaid.

Accordingly, M.W.P.No.2446 of 2003 and I.A.No.8114/2003 also stand disposed of.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Rao

07/08/03

[पीछे देखिये